## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
v. Shaun Otha Lockett	Case No. 1:12-cr-00136-RHB		
Defendant	0.000 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00		
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Re that the defendant be detained pending trial.	form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require		
Part I – F	indings of Fact		
	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had		
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.			
an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.		
an offense for which a maximum prison term o	of ten years or more is prescribed in:		
a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable stat	en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.		
any felony that is not a crime of violence but in	ivolves:		
a minor victim			
a failure to register under 18 U.S.	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon  C. § 2250		
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed to or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state		
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the		
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presu person or the community. I further find that defendation	mption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another nt has not rebutted that presumption.		
Alternativ	ve Findings (A)		
√ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendar	nt has committed an offense		
✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et s			
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance a			
	ve Findings (B)		
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app			
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	ger the safety of another person or the community.  The Reasons for Detention		
	,		
I find that the testimony and information submitted at tr	ne detention hearing establishes by <u>✓</u> clear and convincing		

\_ a preponderance of the evidence that:

- 1. Defendant has a minimal employment history.
- 2. Defendant has twice been charged with witness intimidation.
- 3. Defendant has twice been charged with probation violations, one involving possession of a handgun.
- 4. Defendant has twice previously been convicted of a drug offense.
- 5. Defendant failed to appear for an arraignment on one occasion.

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	November 26, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge